Open Access of Health Research Data: Free Trade or Fair Trade?

BINAYA CHALISE NEPAL HEALTH RESEARCH COUNCIL 23 DECEMBER 2016

Compelling Arguments

- Growing research and technological advancement
- Funders Maximize the use of research findings through data use
- Journals Mandatory or voluntary provision to share original data
- Research Participants Expect to use research result to improve health for them and their community

Benefits: Economic & Academic Valise

- Justice to information funded by taxpayers' money
- Useful when large studies are economically and technically compromising
- Dataset arising from large studies are useful for size and cost – Epidemiological research question specially in disease genetic
- Opportunity to investigate rare epidemiological phenomena

Benefits: Economic & Academic Valise

- Infrastructure development and scholarly quality
 - E.g.: NHS and Welcome Trust invested in data cleaning for Human Genome Study with the condition to make the raw data publicly available (Pisani and AbouZahr, 2010)

• Answer new research questions far beyond the original research studies.

• E.g.: Time series analysis of routine data to guide policy makers on historical development of an issue (Pisani and AbouZahr, 2010)

Differing Views

- Ownership: Open access data underestimate efforts and integrity of primary researchers
 - E.g.: Primary researchers has to cross the ethic huddle which is a benefit for secondary researchers
- Insecurity: hostile agencies or researchers may access data to deliberately criticize researchers
 - E.g.: pharmaceutical/chemical industries hire epidemiologist to criticize independent research into side effects of drugs/chemical to label the original research as a junk science.

Challenges- Methodological

- Possibilities for ambitious request beyond the capacity of an entity. E.g.: X Ray image rather than coded data
- Reanalysis is not a mere calculation but is understanding substantive context, the weakness and strength of data

• E.g.: certain variable collected in Epidemiological studies in UK and Ireland were never cleaned resulting limited analyzability by primary researchers and secondary (Pisani and AbouZahr, 2010).

Challenges: Technical/ Resource

- Few competent data managers in the public health field have realized the value of secondary raw data.
- Lack of institutional incentives for data preparation, annotation and communication
- Research from LMIC will lose out to resourceful researchers from developed countries with advance tool

Challenges: Ethical/Legal

- Personal information and geographic location are sufficient to reveal personal identity
- Provision of privacy law, copy right and intellectual property right
- Lack of institutional policy and operational guidelines

Existing Practices

- No ongoing discussion and specific policy in developing countries
- Donors and Research Council from Global North oblige researchers to share data in public domain.
 - E.g.: UK Medical Research Council requires all applicants to indicate data archiving and sharing plan in its funding application, Similar Case for Welcome Trust applicants (Rani and Buckley, 2012)

Effectiveness Evidence?

- Little evaluation of effectiveness of data sharing policies.
- Publicly shared database has large impact on research and policy

•E.g. : Secondary analysis of NDHS data has significantly increases over the past decade, which has influenced health policy in many countries (Fabic et al., 2012).

Principles

- Equitable: Data sharing should recognize the need of researchers generate and use data and those who wants to reuse those data.
- Ethical: Data sharing should protect privacy of individuals, dignity of community and should respect the scholarly work of primary researchers.
- Efficiency: Data sharing approach should improve the quality and value of research, and increase its contribution to improve public health

#1 Regular deposit of data into archive for free access

#2 Researchers can request data access on ad hoc basis

#2 Establish Network with researchers to conduct focus analysis in specific areas

#3 Sharing unaltered data with silence agreement with legitimate researchers

#4 Obliging researchers to conduct analysis in controlled environment and submit report

Ways Foreword- Top 10 Questions

- **1**. When, where and which data should be archived?
- 2. What ethical considerations should be in placed?
- **3**. What are quality control measures to ensure the quality of dataset?
- **4.** What are the archiving and sharing methods?
- 5. What mechanisms are needed to overcome researchers' reluctance?

Ways Foreword- Top 10 Questions

- 6. Does the existing institutional capacity address the resource need for data sharing?
- 7. Does the exiting capacity development programs address the competency need for data sharing?
- 8. Who will access the data and how?
- 9. Which data to be shared? What criteria will define the priority?
- **10**. What are the mechanism to monitor compliance with the data sharing policies?

Do we want a freed trade or a fair trade policy?

Further Readings

Fabric, M. S., Choi, Y. & Bird, S. 2012. 'A systematic review of demographic and health surveys: data availability and utilization for research'. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 90, 604-612.

Groves, T. 2010.' The wider concept of data sharing: view from the BMJ'. *Biostatistics*, 11, 391-392.

Guttmacher, A. E., Nabel, E. G. & Collins, F. S. 2009. 'Why data-sharing policies matter'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 16894-16894.

Keiding, N. 2010. 'Reproducible research and the substantive context'. *Biostatistics*, 11, 376-378.

Pisani, E. & Abouzahr, C. 2010. 'Sharing health data: good intentions are not enough'. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 88, 462-466.

Rani, M. & Buckley, B. S. 2012. 'Systematic archiving and access to health research data: rationale, current status and way forward'. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 90, 932-939.

Samet, J. M. 2009. 'Data: to share or not to share?' *Epidemiology*, 20, 172-174.

van Panhuis, W. G., Paul, P., Emerson, C., Grefenstette, J., Wilder, R., Herbst, A. J., Heymann, D. & Burke, D. S. 2014. 'A systematic review of barriers to data sharing in public health'. *BMC Public Health*, 14, 1.

Walport, M. & Brest, P. 2011. 'Sharing research data to improve public health'. *The Lancet*, 377, 537-539.